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#### Abstract

E-4-(2-(4-tert-butylphenyl)ethen-1-yl)benzoate, $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$, photoisomerizes to the $Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$isomer and vice versa in the free state and in the binary complexes $\mathbf{2} \cdot E \cdot \mathbf{1}^{-}, \mathbf{2} \cdot Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}, \mathbf{3} \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $\mathbf{3} \cdot Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$where $\mathbf{2}$ is the urea-linked cyclodextrin $N-\left(6^{\mathrm{A}}-\right.$ deoxy- $\alpha$-cyclodextrin- $6^{\mathrm{A}}$-yl)- $N^{\prime}$ - $\left(6^{\mathrm{A}}\right.$-deoxy- $\beta$-cyclodextrin- $6^{\mathrm{A}}$-yl)urea and $\mathbf{3}$ is $N, N$-bis $\left(6^{\mathrm{A}}\right.$-deoxy- $\beta$-cyclodextrin- $6^{\mathrm{A}}$ yl)urea. In $\mathbf{2} \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $\mathbf{3} \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$the stilbene occupies both cyclodextrin (CD) components of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$, whereas in $\mathbf{2 \cdot Z - \mathbf { 1 } ^ { - }}$ and $\mathbf{3} \cdot Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$it only occupies one CD component while the other CD component is unoccupied. 4-tertButylphenolate, $\mathbf{4}^{-}$, and its carboxylate, $\mathbf{5}^{-}$, and sulfonate, $\mathbf{6}^{-}$, analogues form the ternary complex $\mathbf{2 \cdot Z - \mathbf { 1 } ^ { - } \cdot \mathbf { 4 } ^ { - } \text { and }}$ its analogues and also $\mathbf{3} \cdot Z-\mathbf{1}^{-} \cdot \mathbf{4}^{-}$and its analogues. These photoisomerize to $\mathbf{2} \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $\mathbf{3} \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and either free $\mathbf{4}^{-}, \mathbf{5}^{-}$ or $6^{-}$and thereby function as molecular devices.


## Introduction

The interactions of hydrophobic guests with native cyclodextrins and modified cyclodextrins have been extensively explored [1, 2] and raise the possibility of the self-assembly of complexes which may be switched between two states at will and thereby constitute molecular devices [3]. One way in which this might be achieved is to amplify a simple transformation such as an isomerization about a double bond within a binary complex. This we have sought to do through the complexation of $E$ - and Z-4-(2-(4-tert-butylphenyl)ethen $\mathbf{1}^{-}$yl)benzoate, $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$, by the urea linked cyclodextrins, $\quad N$-( $6^{\mathrm{A}}$-deoxy- $\alpha$-cyclodextrin- $6^{\mathrm{A}}$-yl) $) N^{\prime}$ ( $6^{\text {A }}$-deoxy- $\beta$-cyclodextrin- $6^{\text {A }}$-yl)urea, $\quad \mathbf{2}, \quad$ and $\quad N, N$ -$\operatorname{bis}\left(6^{\mathrm{A}}\right.$-deoxy- $\beta$-cyclodextrin- $6^{\mathrm{A}}$-yl)urea, 3 [4] (Scheme 1). Thus, isomerization of $E-1^{-}$and $Z-1^{-}$within the binary complexes $\mathbf{2} \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $\mathbf{2} \cdot Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and in $\mathbf{3} \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$ and $\mathbf{3} \cdot Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$may produce the desired two states of a molecular device which performs a specific function. As stilbenes are subject to photoisomerization [5], we have studied this aspect of $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and the extent to which their complexes act as molecular devices.

[^0]
## Experimental

## General

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(300 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}) \mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were run on a Varian Gemini 300 spectrometer and chemical shifts were referenced against internal TMS in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and against the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ residue multiplet ( $\delta=2.49 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) the solvent ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ multiplet ( $\delta=39.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) in $d_{6}$-DMSO. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ $(600 \mathrm{MHz}) \mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were run on a Varian Inova 600 spectrometer and chemical shifts were referenced against the HOD resonance ( $\delta=4.72 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). ESI-MS studies were made in positive ion mode with a Finnigan MAT ion trap LC-Q mass spectrometer fitted with an electrospray ionization source. Accurate mass spectrometry was carried out at the University of Tasmania, Hobart. ESI-MS samples were dissolved in water for injection. Infrared spectra were recorded on an ATI Mattson Genesis FT-IR. The abbreviations strong (s), medium (m), weak (w) and broad (b) are used for reporting the intensity of the bands observed. UV/VIS spectra were recorded on a Cary 300 Bio spectrophotometer. Irradiation of solutions of the $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$ complexes were carried out in a quartz cuvette in a Perkin Elmer LS50B fluorimeter. Elemental analyses were performed by the Microanalytical Service of the Chemistry Department, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand. $\alpha$-CD and $\beta$-CD (Nihon Shokhuin Kako Co.) were dried by heating at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum for



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha C D ; X=O H, n=1 \\
& \beta C D ; X=O H, n=2 \\
& \mathbf{2} ; n=1 \\
& \mathbf{3} ; \mathrm{n}=2 \\
& \text { and for both } \mathbf{2} \text { and } \mathbf{3} \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$



Scheme 1. The numbering shown for $E-1^{-}$corresponds to the numbering of resonances in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum.

18 h . Both 2 and 3 were prepared as previously described [4]. The solvents used in syntheses were redistilled and dried by standard methods [6].

Preparation of methyl E- and methyl Z-4-(2-(4-tert-butylphenyl)ethen-1-yl)benzoate
(a) 4-tert-Butylbenzylbromide $(0.992 \mathrm{~g}, 4.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triphenylphosphine ( $1.34 \mathrm{~g}, 5.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to dry benzene $\left(12 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and stirred under nitrogen at room temperature for 72 h . Toluene was removed under reduced pressure and the solid product was washed several times with hexane to give 4-tert-butylbenzyl(triphenyl)phosphonium bromide as white crystals $(1.87 \mathrm{~g}$, $87 \%), \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.60-7.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) ; 7.14(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J_{1}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad J_{2}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad 2 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{ArH}\right) ; \quad 7.05 \quad(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{ArH}) ; 5.31(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right) ; 1.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.
(b) A solution of sodium methoxide was prepared by adding sodium ( $0.115 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to dry methanol $\left(2.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. After dissolution of the sodium, the solution was added dropwise to 4-tert-butylbenzyl(triphenyl)phosphonium bromide ( $1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol $\left(5.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred at $40-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min , then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a solution of 4-formylmethyl benzoate ( $0.298 \mathrm{~g}, 1.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( $3.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added dropwise. Once the addition was complete, the mixture was refluxed for 3.5 h . The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and concentrated hydrochloric acid $\left(0.55 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added dropwise. The resulting precipitate (which was mostly methyl $E$-4-(2-(4-tert-butylphenyl)ethen-1-yl)benzoate was filtered by vacuum filtration and washed with $10 \%$ sodium bicarbonate solution $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, water $\left(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and methanol $\left(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. Further purification was achieved by flash column chromatography ( $5 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexane) to give methyl $E$-4-(2-(4-tert-butylphenyl)ethen-1-yl)benzoate as white crystals ( $0.202 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \%$ ), mp $145-147{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; FAB-MS $m / z 294.4\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; [Found: C, 81.59; H, $7.53 \%$. Calcd. C, $81.64 ; \mathrm{H} 7.54 \%] ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 8.16$ (d, $J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH} 6) ; 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ArH5); $7.40\left(\delta_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 7.47\left(\delta_{\mathrm{B}}\right)(\mathrm{ABq}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, ArH1,2); 7.21 (d, $J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 7.08$ (d, $J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 3.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{O}\right) ; 1.33(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 166.87(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; 151.52,142.09$, 134.01, (ArC); 131.10(C=C); 129.99, 128.74 (ArC); 126.81 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) ; 126.55,126.19,125.70(\mathrm{ArC}) ; 51.97\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{O}\right)$; $34.67\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ; 31.24\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ; v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{Nujol} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1718$ $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; 1599 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) ; 1502 \mathrm{w}(\mathrm{Ar}) ; 849$ (Ar).

The filtrate remaining after precipitation of methyl E-4-(2-(4-tert-butylphenyl)ethen-1-yl)benzoate contained mainly the $Z$-isomer. This was concentrated and the residue was extracted with toluene $\left(15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, ethyl acetate $\left(15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and chloroform $\left(15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude material was purified by flash column chromatography ( $2.5-5.0 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexane) to give methyl Z-4-(2-(4-tert-butylphenyl)ethen-1-yl)benzoate as a white sticky solid which was hydrolysed without further purification ( $0.110 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \%$ ); FAB-MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 295.4$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) ; \quad \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \quad 7.91 \quad(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad 2 \mathrm{H}$, ArH6); 7.35 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH5}) ; 7.24$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$; $6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{O}\right) ; 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 166.94(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; 150.69,142.48$, 133.64, ( ArC ); $132.05(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) ; 129.50,128.80,128.58$ ( ArC ); $128.51(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) ; 125.19(\mathrm{ArC}) ; 51.96\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{O}\right)$; $34.56\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ; 31.22\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) \text {. } . . . . ~}^{\text {. }}\right.$

## Preparation of E-4-(2-(4-tert-butylphenyl) ethen-1-yl)

 benzoic acid, $\mathrm{E}-1 \mathrm{H}$Methyl E-4-(2-(4-tert-butylphenyl)ethen-1-yl)benzoate $(0.110 \mathrm{~g}, 0.374 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in a mixture of ethylene glycol $\left(3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and water $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and sodium
hydroxide ( $0.250 \mathrm{~g}, 6.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at reflux $(16 \mathrm{~h})$, diluted with water $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and heated further at reflux ( 1 h ). After cooling the mixture to room temperature, it was acidified (concentrated hydrochloric acid) to pH 1 . The product was extracted with ether $\left(6 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, dried (sodium sulfate) and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in THF $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and added dropwise to hexane $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, the precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration and washed with hexane $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ to give the product as white crystals $(0.065 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%), \mathrm{mp}>206{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposition); FAB-MS $m / z 280.4\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; [found: C, 81.61; H, $7.11 \%$. Calcd. C, 81.31; H 7.19\%]; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO) 7.93 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH6}) ; 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ArH5); 7.57 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH} 2) ; 7.42$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH} 1) ; 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 1.29(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO) $166.96(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; 150.81$, 141.50, 133.81 ( ArC ); 130.73 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ ); 129.66, 129.24 (ArC); $126.51(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{ArC}) ; 126.5,120.44(\mathrm{ArC}) ; 34.31$ $\left(C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ; 30.95\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ; v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{Nujol} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 2500-$ 2900b ( OH ), 1681s $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; 1601m ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ ); 1594w, 1502w (Ar); 850 (Ar) cm ${ }^{-1}$.

Preparation of Z-4-(2-(4-tert-butylphenyl)ethen-1-yl)benzoic acid, $Z-1 H$

Methyl-Z-4-(2-(4-tert-butylphenyl)ethen-1-yl)benzoate ( $0.055 \mathrm{~g}, 0.186 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was suspended in a mixture of ethylene glycol $\left(1.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and water $\left(0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and sodium hydroxide $(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at reflux ( 5 h ) in the dark, diluted with water $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and heated further at reflux ( 1 h ). After cooling the mixture to room temperature, it was acidified (concentrated hydrochloric acid) to pH 1 . The precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration and washed with water $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and cold ethanol $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ to give the product as a white powder $(0.043 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%)$, FAB-MS $m / z 281.4\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$; [found: C, $79.79 ; \mathrm{H}$, $7.11 \%$. Calc. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 79.67 ; \mathrm{H} 7.27 \%$ ]; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO) 7.83 (d, $\left.J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH} 6\right) ; 7.34$ (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH} 5) ; 7.28$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH1})$; $7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH} 2) ; 6.71\left(\delta_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 6.62\left(\delta_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ $\left(\mathrm{ABq}, J_{\mathrm{AB}}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}\right) ; 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ; \quad \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO) $167.08 \quad(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \quad 150.13$, 141.49, 133.34 ( ArC ); $131.43(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) ; 129.83,129.33$ ( ArC ) ; $128.55(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) ; 128.42,128.30,125.08$ ( ArC ); $34.25\left(C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ; 30.96\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.

## Results and discussion

The isomerization of $E-1^{-}$and $Z-1^{-}$. The preparation of 4-(2-(4-tert-butylphenyl)ethen-1-carboxylic acid, yields both $E-1 \mathrm{H}$ and $Z-1 \mathrm{H}$ isomers which may be separated through a combination of fractional crystallization and chromatography as described in the Experimental section. Both isomers have a very low water solubility, but
are more soluble when deprotonated to give $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$. For convenience, their UV-VIS spectra are shown in their $\mathbf{2} \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $\mathbf{2 \cdot Z - \mathbf { 1 } ^ { - }}$ binary complexes (to which their free state spectra are very similar) in figure 1. Irradiation of $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$at 340 nm and of $Z \mathbf{- 1}^{-}$at 275 nm produces photostationary states between the isomers dominated by $Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$, respectively. Switching between the two photostationary states is achieved by irradiation at these wavelengths. Similar UV-VIS absorption changes occur for $\mathbf{3} \cdot E \cdot \mathbf{1}^{-}$and $3 \cdot Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and


Figure 1. The UV-visible spectral variation accompanying changes in the position of equilibrium between $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$for an aqueous:methanol, $97.5: 2.5 \%, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ solution in which total $\left[E-\mathbf{1}^{-}\right.$and $\left.Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}\right]$, [2] and $[\mathrm{NaOH}]=1.8 \times 10^{-5}, 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$ and $1.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, respectively. (a) Initially prepared solution where the stilbene was exclusively $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$. (d) Initially prepared solution where the stilbene was exclusively $Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$. The spectra (b) and (c) are those of photostationary equilibria between $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$after irradiation of either solution (a) or (d) for 3.5 h at 275 and 340 nm , respectively.


Figure 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ROESY NMR spectrum at 298 K of a $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution in which total $[\alpha-\mathrm{CD}],\left[E-\mathbf{1}^{-}\right]$and $[\mathrm{NaOD}]=0.010,0.0034$ and $0.15 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, respectively. The cross-peaks enclosed in the rectangles arise from dipolar interactions between the protons indicated on the F1 and F2 axes.


Figure 3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ROESY NMR spectrum at 298 K of a $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution in which total [2], $\left[E-\mathbf{1}^{-}\right]$and $[\mathrm{NaOD}]=0.016,0.015$ and $0.15 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, respectively. The cross-peaks enclosed in the rectangles arise from dipolar interactions between the protons indicated on the F1 and F2 axes.




Scheme 2. Photoisomerization to give photostationary states in which $\mathbf{2} \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $\mathbf{2} \cdot Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$dominate under 275 and 340 nm , respectively. The vacated $\alpha-\mathrm{CD}$ component annulus of $\mathbf{2} \cdot Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$may be occupied by 4 methylphenolate, $\mathbf{4}^{-}$, to form $2 \cdot Z-\mathbf{1}^{-} \cdot \mathbf{4}^{-}$but does not enter the $\alpha-\mathrm{CD}$ component annulus of $2 \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$. Both $2 \cdot Z \cdot \mathbf{1}^{-} \cdot 5^{-}$and $2 \cdot Z-1^{-} \cdot 6^{-}$are similarly formed as are the analogous complexes of $\mathbf{3}$.
for both systems in the presence of $\mathbf{4}^{-}, \mathbf{5}^{-}$and $\mathbf{6}^{-}$, as is also the case for the $\alpha$-CD and $\beta$-CD complexes of $E-1^{-}$ and $Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$. This is consistent with complexation having little effect on the photoisomerization. Daylight irradi-


Figure. 4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ROESY NMR spectrum at 298 K of the same solution as in Figure 3 after 24 h exposure to daylight in an NMR tube. The $\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathrm{E}-\mathbf{1}^{-}$to $\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathrm{Z}-\mathbf{1}^{-}$ratio is $30: 70$. The cross-peaks enclosed in the rectangles arise from dipolar interactions between the protons of $\mathbf{2}$ and $Z-1^{-}$indicated on the F1 and F2 axes. Some of the cross-peaks arising from $2 \cdot \mathrm{E}-\mathbf{1}^{-}$also appear within the rectangles, but are of lesser intensity.
ation in Pyrex vessels (which cut out most light with $\lambda \leq 300 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) also causes photoisomerization to give a preponderance of the $Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$isomer in the free state and when complexed by a cyclodextrin.

## ${ }^{1} H$ NMR spectroscopic studies of the complexation of $E-1^{-}$by $\alpha-C D$ and $\beta-C D$

Both $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$are insufficiently soluble in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra to be obtained. However, both are solubilized by $\alpha-C D, \beta-C D, 2$ and 3 consistent with the formation of complexes, but to obtain sufficiently high concentrations to give good signal to noise ratios for their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra a $[\mathrm{NaOD}]=0.15 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$ was required. This may indicate that it is necessary to deprotonate a CD hydroxy group (which is expected to have a $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}} \geq 12$ on the basis that the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{s}$ of $\mathrm{OH}(2)$ and $\mathrm{OH}(3)$ are 12.33 for $\alpha-\mathrm{CD}$ [1]) to increase solubility of the complexes.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ROESY NMR spectrum of a solution in which total $[\alpha-\mathrm{CD}],\left[E-1^{-}\right]$and $[\mathrm{NaOD}]=0.010,0.0034$ and $0.15 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, respectively, shows all of the $E-1^{-}$resonances to be duplicated and cross-peaks arising from dipolar interactions of the $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$aromatic protons and the $\mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{H} 5$ and H 6 protons of the interior of the $\alpha$-CD annulus but only very weak cross-peaks are observed for the $E-\mathbf{1}^{-} t$-butyl protons (figure 2). This is consistent with $\alpha-\mathrm{CD}$ being centered on the $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$double bond in each of two $\alpha-\mathrm{CD} \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$ complexes in which the narrow end of the $\alpha$-CD annulus


Figure 5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ROESY NMR spectrum at 298 K of a $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution in which total $[3],\left[E-1^{-}\right],\left[\mathbf{4}^{-}\right]$and $[\mathrm{NaOD}]=0.016,0.015$, 0.024 and $0.15 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, respectively. The cross-peaks enclosed in the rectangles arise from dipolar interactions between the protons indicated on the F1 and F2 axes.
is adjacent to either the 4-tert-butylphenyl or benzoate end of $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$. While the duplicated $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$resonances are narrow at 298 K , they broaden with increasing temperature up to 323 K consistent with the exchange of $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$ between its two complexed environments. In contrast, the analogous spectrum of a $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution in which total $[\beta-\mathrm{CD}],\left[E-1^{-}\right]$and $[\mathrm{NaOD}]=0.016,0.015$ and 0.15 mol $\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, respectively, shows cross-peaks arising from the aromatic H 1 and H 2 and $t$-butyl protons of $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and the $\mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{H} 5$ and H 6 protons of $\beta$-CD, but the $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$resonances are not duplicated. This is consistent with $\beta-\mathrm{CD}$ being centered on the 4 -tert-butylphenyl moiety of $E-1^{-}$ in a single $\beta-\mathrm{CD} \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$complex or of two such complexes with opposite $\beta$-CD orientations being in fast exchange.

## ${ }^{1} H$ NMR studies of the complexation of $E-1^{-}$and $Z-1^{-}$ by 2 and 3

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ROESY NMR spectrum of $2 \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$ shows strong cross-peaks arising from dipolar interactions between all of the $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$protons and the H3, H5 and H 6 protons of the $\alpha-\mathrm{CD}$ and $\beta-\mathrm{CD}$ component annuli of 2 (figure 3). As the above studies of the $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$ complexes indicate that $\alpha-\mathrm{CD}$ is positioned away from the $t$-butyl group of $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$in $\alpha-\mathrm{CD} \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$whereas $\beta$-CD preferentially complexes the 4 -tert-butylphenyl moiety of $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$in $\beta$-CD $\cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$, it is assumed that these preferences are also exercized in $\mathbf{2 \cdot E - 1}$ as shown in Scheme 2. In contrast, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ROESY NMR spectrum of the same solution after exposure to daylight for 24 h shows resonances arising from $\mathbf{2} \cdot E \cdot \mathbf{1}^{-}$and $\mathbf{2} \cdot Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$in the ratio 30:70 (figure 4). Strong cross-peaks arise from the $Z-\mathbf{1}^{-} \mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2$ and $t$-butyl protons (and appear adjacent


Figure 6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ROESY NMR spectrum at 298 K of a $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution in which total $[3],\left[Z-1^{-}\right],\left[\mathbf{4}^{-}\right]$and $[\mathrm{NaOD}]=0.016,0.015$, 0.024 and $0.15 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, respectively. The cross-peaks enclosed in the rectangles arise from dipolar interactions between the protons indicated on the F1 and F2 axes.
to the weaker $E-\mathbf{1}^{-} \mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2$ and $t$-butyl proton crosspeaks) consistent with the 4-tert-butylphenyl moiety of $Z-1^{-}$occupying the $\beta$-CD component annulus of 2 and the $\alpha$-CD component annulus being vacated by the benzoate moiety as shown in Scheme 2. The much decreased $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$H5 and H6 cross-peaks are also seen in Figure 4. Similar differences are observed between the analogous spectra of $3 \cdot E-1^{-}$and $3 \cdot Z-1^{-}$.

In the presence of $\mathbf{4}^{-}, \mathbf{5}^{-}$and $\mathbf{6}^{-}$, no ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ROESY NMR cross-peaks arising from interactions of their protons with the $\mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{H} 5$ and H 6 protons of the $\alpha-\mathrm{CD}$ and $\beta$-CD component annuli of $\mathbf{2} \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $\mathbf{3} \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$ are observed consistent with $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$being too strongly complexed for either $\mathbf{4}^{-}, \mathbf{5}^{-}$or $\mathbf{6}^{-}$to compete for their occupancy (figure 5). However, $\mathbf{4}^{-}, \mathbf{5}^{-}$and $\mathbf{6}^{-}$occupy the vacated $\beta$-CD component annuli of $\mathbf{2 \cdot Z - 1 ^ { - }}$ and $\mathbf{3} \cdot Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$to
 their $5^{-}$and $\mathbf{6}^{-}$analogues, as shown by cross-peaks arising from the $\mathbf{4}^{-}, \mathbf{5}^{-}$and $\mathbf{6}^{-}$aromatic and methyl proton dipolar interactions with the $\mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{H} 5$ and H 6 protons of the vacated $\alpha-\mathrm{CD}$ and $\beta-\mathrm{CD}$ component annuli (figure 6 and Scheme 2). The cross-peaks arising from the continued occupancy of the $\beta$-CD component annuli of $\mathbf{2 \cdot Z - \mathbf { 1 } ^ { - }}$ and $\mathbf{3 \cdot Z - \mathbf { 1 } ^ { - }}$ by the $Z-\mathbf{1}^{-} 4$-tert-butylphenyl group remain.

Upon irradiation of a solution of $\mathbf{2} \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $\mathbf{4}^{-}$at $340 \mathrm{~nm}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR resonances and cross-peaks arising from $\mathbf{2} \cdot Z \cdot \mathbf{1}^{-} \cdot \mathbf{4}^{-}$appear, and irradiation of a solution of $\mathbf{2} \cdot Z-\mathbf{1}^{-} \cdot \mathbf{4}^{-}$at 275 nm produces resonances and crosspeaks arising from $2 \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $\mathbf{4}^{-}$. The photostationary states achieved by irradiation at these wavelengths differ in their relative concentrations of $\mathbf{2} \cdot E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $\mathbf{4}^{-}$ and $\mathbf{2} \cdot Z \cdot \mathbf{1}^{-} \cdot \mathbf{4}^{-}$as expected and switching between photo-
stationary states is achieved by choosing the appropriate irradiation wavelength. Similar ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral changes are observed in the photo-switching between the stationary states achieved in the presence of $5^{-}$and $\mathbf{6}^{-}$, in the analogous systems formed by $\mathbf{3}$, and also when $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$are replaced by $E$ - and $Z-4$-(2-(4-tert-butylphenyl)ethen-1-yl)phenoxide [7].

## Conclusion

The photoswitching between the stationary states exemplified in Scheme 2 constitutes the operation of a molecular device. It is based on the amplification of the $Z-\mathbf{1}^{-}$and $E-\mathbf{1}^{-}$photoisomerization within urealinked CDs and the interactions between the components of the self-assembling molecular device are entirely intermolecular. There appears to be considerable potential for the amplification of such isomerizations in molecular device design.
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